

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/728,852	12/01/2000	M.Cameron Watson	NCRC-0021-US(9261)	4243
26890	7590 04/04/2003			
JAMES M. STOVER NCR CORPORATION 1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD, WHQ4			EXAMINER	
			MAHMOUDI, HASSAN	
DAYTON, OF	1 43479		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	· ·=
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/728,852	WATSON ET AL.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	- \$\mathcal{y}			
		Tony Mahmoudi	2175				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
	or Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EYRIDE 2 MONTH	(S) EDOM				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 J	<u>anuary 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	ion of Claims	nalication					
-	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-31</u> is/are pending in the a						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9, and 11-31</u> is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
1	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
•	ion Papers						
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		!			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and complete visional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and complete visional application has been received.							
Attachment(s) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100							
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s). I Patent Application (PTO-1				

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

In response to communications filed on 21-January-2003, claim 10 is cancelled, claims 1-2,
 11-12, 14-16, and 18-19 are amended, and new claims 22-31 are added per applicant's request. Therefore, claims 1-9, and 11-31 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 6-9, and 11-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reiner et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,289,334) in view of Nori et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,061,690.)

As to claim 1, Reiner et al teaches a method (see Abstract), comprising:

receiving data to be stored in a database system (see column 2, lines 53-60, where

"receiving data" is read on "accessing data records stored in a database table") having plural
data servers (see column 30, lines 22-27, where "plural data servers" is read on "remote
clients and servers running on heterogeneous platforms", and see figure 2);

Art Unit: 2175

partitioning the data for storage in the database system based on the characteristic associated with the data (see column 3, lines 32-46, and see column 11, lines 27-31); and storing the partitioned data in storage units (see column 2, line 61 through column 3, line 3, and see column 3, lines 46-52) associated with the plural data servers (see column 2, lines 53-60, where "storage units associated with plural data servers" is read on "plural independently accessible partitions [e.g. data partitions contained on separate disk drives])"; and

in response to a database query (see column 2, lines 63-65), selecting less than all the plural data servers based on the positioning of the data to reduce a number of data servers involved in processing the database query (see column 3, lines 32-52, and see column 25, lines 39-48.)

Reiner et al does not teach:

Receiving information associated with at least one characteristic of the data.

Nori et al teaches a method for storage of object collections in a database system (see Abstract), in which he teaches receiving information associated with at least one characteristic of the data (see column 11, lines 25-37, where "information associated with characteristics of the data" is read on "a set of parameters".)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Reiner et al</u> to include receiving information associated with at least one characteristic of the data.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Reiner et al by the teaching of Nori et al, because

Art Unit: 2175

receiving information associated with at least one characteristic of the data, would enable the user to receive relational information about the data and define the relationships between data segments partitioned and stored in the plurality of storage devices.

As to claim 2, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein receiving the information comprises receiving the information from a client system (see Reiner et al, figures 11-13, and see column 5, lines 25-27, and see column 30, lines 12-36.)

As to claim 3, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein receiving the information comprises receiving at least one of an average value of the data (see Reiner et al, column 9, lines 57-61) a uniform distribution of the data (see Reiner et al, column 4, lines 37-42, where "uniform distribution" is read on "distributed roughly evenly"), a minimum value of the data (see Reiner et al, column 9, lines 50-56), and a maximum value of the data (see Reiner et al, column 16, lines 43-48.)

As to claim 6, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein partitioning the data for storage in the database system comprises dividing the data into buckets containing related data (see Reiner et al, column 26, lines 19-22, where "dividing the data into buckets" is read on "one or more files to which the query's reads will be restricted".)

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 7, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein partitioning the data comprises organizing the data into related portions (see Reiner et al, column 10, lines 62-67, and see column 27, lines 53-64.)

As to claim 8, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein partitioning the data further comprises executing an algorithm to organize the data (see Reiner et al, column 10, line 62 through column 11, lines 26, where "executing an algorithm" s read on "combining DBMS's indexing and hashing mechanisms".)

As to claim 9, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein storing the partitioned data in the database system comprises storing the partitioned data in a relational database system (see Reiner et al, column 7, lines 43-48.)

As to claim 11, Reiner et al teaches a system (see Abstract), comprising:

a database (see figure 3A);

a network interface (see figure 2, and see column 2, line 61 through column 3, line 3); plural storage modules and data servers (see column 30, lines 22-27, where "plural storage modules and data servers" is read on "remote clients and servers running on heterogeneous platforms");

a database controller coupled to the database (see column 5, lines 37-42), wherein the database controller is adapted to perform a partitioning task on data received through the

Art Unit: 2175

network interface based on the partitioning information (see column 24, lines 8-36) to partition the data into plural groups (see column 25, lines 39-40),

the database controller adapted to further store the plural groups of the data partitioned by the partitioning task (see column 145, lines 2-5) into the plural storage modules associated with corresponding plural data servers (see column 7, lines 43-48),

the database controller adapted to select, in response to a database query (see column 2, lines 63-65), less than all the plural data servers based on the partitioning information to reduce a number of data servers involved in processing the database query (see column 3, lines 32-52, and see column 25, lines 39-48.)

Reiner et al does not teach receiving partitioning information.

Nori et al teaches a method for storage of object collections in a database system (see Abstract), in which he teaches receiving partitioning information (see column 11, lines 25-37, where "information" is read on "a set of parameters", and see column 17, lines 33-48.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Reiner et al</u> to include receiving partitioning information.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Reiner et al by the teaching of Nori et al, because receiving partitioning information, would enable the system to define the size, level, and structure of the data partitions within a relational database, based on the information received on the actual data contents to be stored in the appropriate partition.

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 12, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein the database is part of a parallel database system (see Reiner et al, column 8, lines 46-51, see figure 3B, and see column 145, lines 2-5, and see figure)

As to claim 13, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein the database is a relational database (see Reiner et al, column 7, lines 43-48.)

As to claim 14, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein the database controller comprises:

a query coordinator coupled to the network interface, the query coordinator to receive the database query from the network interface (see Reiner et al, column 145, lines 2-27);

a partitioner to partition data and perform selecting of less than all the plural data servers (see Reiner et al, column 3, lines 32-52, and see column 25, lines 39-48; and

a partitioner data storage coupled to the partitioner, the partitioner data storage to store the partitioning information (see Nori et al, column 11, lines 25-37, where "information" is read on "a set of parameters", and see column 17, lines 33-48, also see Reiner et al, column 2, line 61 through column 3, line 3, and see column 3, lines 46-52) associated with at least one characteristic of the data (see Nori et al, column 11, lines 25-37, where "information associated with characteristics of the data" is read on "a set of parameters") to enable the partitioner to partition data (see Reiner et al, column 24, lines 8-36.)

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 15, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein the partitioner is capable of executing an algorithm, based on the stored partitioning information (see Nori et al, column 11, lines 25-37, where "information" is read on "a set of parameters"), for partitioning the data (see Reiner et al, column 10, line 62 through column 11, lines 26, where "executing an algorithm" s read on "combining DBMS's indexing and hashing mechanisms".)

As to claim 16, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein the plural data servers (see Reiner et al, column 30, lines 22-27, where "plural storage modules and data servers" is read on "remote clients and servers running on heterogeneous platforms") are adapted to store and access partitioned data in the database (see Reiner et al, column 5, lines 56-65, and see column 7, lines 43-48.)

As to claim 17, Reiner et al as modified teaches the system further comprising a client system, wherein the client system sends data to the database through the network interface (see Reiner et al, column 31, lines 47-52.)

As to claim 18, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein the client system is adapted to further send the partitioning information to be used by the database controller to partition the data (see Nori et al, column 11, lines 25-37, where "characteristic of the data" is read on "a set of parameters", and see column 17, lines 33-48.)

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 19, Reiner et al teaches an article comprising one or more storage media (see column 3, lines 45-49) containing instructions (see column 6, lines 32-35) that when executed cause a device to:

receive information to be stored into a database system from a remote device (see column 2, lines 53-60, where "receiving information" is read on "accessing data records stored in a database table");

partition the data for storage in the database system based on the characteristic of the data (see column 3, lines 32-46, and see column 11, lines 27-31);

store the partitioned data in the database system (see column 2, line 61 through column 3, line 3, and see column 3, lines 46-52) in plural storage modules associated with plural data servers (see column 7, lines 43-48); and

in response to a database query (see column 2, lines 63-65), select less than all the data servers based on the information to reduce a number of data servers involved in processing the database query (see column 3, lines 32-52, and see column 25, lines 39-48.)

Reiner et al does not teach:

receiving information associated with at least one characteristic of the data.

Nori et al teaches a method for storage of object collections in a database system (see Abstract), in which he teaches receiving information associated with at least one characteristic of the data (see column 11, lines 25-37, where "information associated with characteristics of the data" is read on "a set of parameters".)

Art Unit: 2175

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Reiner et al</u> to include receiving information associated with at least one characteristic of the data.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Reiner et al by the teaching of Nori et al, because receiving information associated with at least one characteristic of the data, would enable the user to receive relational information about the data and define the relationships between data segments partitioned and stored in the plurality of storage devices.

As to claim 20, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein the instructions when executed cause the device to execute an algorithm to partition the data (see Reiner et al, column 10, line 62 through column 11, lines 26, where "executing an algorithm" s read on "combining DBMS's indexing and hashing mechanisms".)

As to claim 21, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein the instructions when executed cause the device to divide the data into segments containing related data (see Reiner et al, column 26, lines 19-22, where "dividing the data into segments" is read on "one or more files to which the query's reads will be restricted".)

As to claim 22, <u>Reiner et al</u> as modified teaches wherein receiving the information comprises receiving organizational information (see <u>Reiner et al</u>, column 34, lines 43-57' where "organizational information" is read on "strategic information" which must be

Art Unit: 2175

"analyzed to organize it into a structured form"), and wherein selecting less than all the plural data servers is based on the organizational information (see <u>Reiner et al</u>, column 19, lines 3-6, where "selecting at least one more data server" is read on "target list modification".)

As to claim 23, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein selecting less than all the plural data servers (see Reiner et al, column 19, lines 3-6, where "selecting at least one more data server" is read on "target list modification") is based on the organizational information (see Reiner et al, column 34, lines 43-57' where "organizational information" is read on "strategic information" which must be "analyzed to organize it into a structured form") and a characteristic of data requested by the database query (see Nori et al, column 11, lines 25-37.)

As to claim 24, Reiner et al as modified teaches the method further comprising: retrieving search results obtained by the selected data servers (see Reiner et al, column 13, lines 7-8, where "retrieving search results" is read on "each subquery retrieves its results");

determining whether the search results are satisfactory (see Reiner et al, column 16, lines 43-48, and see column 18, line 63 through column 19, line 2, where "satisfactory" is read on "correctly"); and

selecting at least one more data server to process the database query if the search results are not satisfactory (see Reiner et al, column 19, lines 3-6, where "selecting at least one more data server" is read on "target list modification".)

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 25, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein partitioning the data comprises partitioning the data into logical groups (see Reiner et al, column 3, lines 10-17.)

As to claim 26, Reiner et al as modified teaches the method further comprising storing the information by a partitioner (see Reiner et al, column 2, line 61 through column 3, line 3), wherein selecting less than all the data select is performed at least in part by the partitioner (see Reiner et al, column 3, lines 32-52, and see column 25, lines 39-48.)

As to claim 27, Reiner et al as modified teaches the database controller to select less than all the plural data servers based on the partitioning information (see Reiner et al, column 3, lines 32-52, and see column 25, lines 39-48) and a characteristic of data requested by the database query (see Nori et al, column 11, lines 25-37.)

As to claim 28, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein the selected data servers are adapted to retrieve search results in response to the database query (see Reiner et al, column 13, lines 7-8, where "retrieving search results" is read on "each subquery retrieves its results"), and the database controller is adapted to determine whether the search results are satisfactory (see Reiner et al, column 16, lines 43-48, and see column 18, line 63 through column 19, line 2, where "satisfactory" is read on "correctly") and to select at least one more data server to process the database query if the search results are not satisfactory (see Reiner

Art Unit: 2175

et al, column 19, lines 3-6, where "selecting at least one more data server" is read on "target list modification".)

As to claim 29, <u>Reiner et al</u> as modified teaches wherein the instructions when executed (see <u>Reiner et al</u>, column 6, lines 32-35) cause the device to receive information comprising partitioning information (see <u>Nori et al</u>, column 17, lines 33-48.)

As to claim 30, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein the instructions when executed (see Reiner et al, column 6, lines 32-35) cause the device to select less than all the plural data servers (see Reiner et al, column 3, lines 32-52, and see column 25, lines 39-48) based on the partitioning information (see Nori et al, column 17, lines 33-48) and a characteristic of data requested by the database query (see Nori et al, column 11, lines 25-37.)

As to claim 31, <u>Reiner et el</u> as modified teaches wherein the instructions when executed (see <u>Reiner et al</u>, column 6, lines 32-35) cause the device to:

retrieve search results obtained by the selected data servers (see Reiner et al, column 13, lines 7-8, where "retrieving search results" is read on "each subquery retrieves its results"); determine whether the search results are satisfactory (see Reiner et al, column 16, lines 43-48, and see column 18, line 63 through column 19, line 2, where "satisfactory" is read on "correctly"); and

Art Unit: 2175

select at least one more data server to process the database query if the search results are not satisfactory (see Reiner et al, column 19, lines 3-6, where "selecting at least one more data server" is read on "target list modification".)

Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Reiner et al</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,289,334) in view of <u>Nori et al</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,061,690) as applied to claims
 1-3, 6-9, and 11-31 above, and further in view of <u>Natarajan</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,400,371.)

As to claim 4, Reiner et al as modified teaches partitioning the data (see Reiner et al, column 3, lines 32-46, and see column 11, lines 27-31.)

Reiner et al, as modified does not teach defining straight-line segments based on at least one of the average value of the data, the uniform distribution of the data, the minimum value of the data, and the maximum value of the data.

Natarajan teaches a system and method for filtering random noise using data compression (see Abstract), in which he teaches defining straight-line segments (see figure 1, and see column 6, lines 35-46) based on at least one of the average value of the data (see column 12, lines 8-14), the uniform distribution of the data, the minimum value of the data, and the maximum value of the data (see column 5, lines 36-46, and see lines 63-68.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have modified Reiner et al as modified to include defining straight-line segments based on at least one of the average value of the data, the uniform distribution of the data, the minimum value of the data, and the maximum value of the data.

Page 15

Application/Control Number: 09/728,852

Art Unit: 2175

It would have been possible to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Reiner et al as modified, by the teaching of Natarajan, because defining straight-line segments based on at least one of the average value of the data, the uniform distribution of the data, the minimum value of the data, and the maximum value of the data, would enable the system to linearly approximate the value of the non-linear data based on where the majority of the calculated data points are positioned.

As to claim 5, Reiner et al as modified teaches wherein partitioning the data (see Reiner et al, column 3, lines 32-46, and see column 11, lines 27-31) further comprises defining breakpoints to provide the straight-line segments (see Natarajan, column 3, lines 33-51, and see column 11, lines 10-16.)

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 21-January-2003 with respect to cited references have been fully considered but they not found to be persuasive:

Art Unit: 2175

In response to applicant's arguments that "it is improper to combine Reiner et al and Nori et al, as there is no suggestion and motivation to combine the references", the arguments have been fully considered but are not found to be persuasive, because the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, both cited references teach inventions that are in the same field of endeavor.

In response to applicant's arguments that the cited references "do not teach or suggest the elements recited in each of the independent claims", and particularly in response to applicant's arguments that neither reference "mentions selection of less than all plural data servers based on partitioning of data to reduce a number of data servers involved in processing a database query"; and that "there is no teaching or suggestion in the asserted combination of Reiner et al, and Nori et al of a database controller to receive portioning information, to perform a partitioning task based on the partitioning information to partition the data into plural groups", the arguments have been fully considered but are not found to be persuasive. Applicant is kindly directed to the remarks and discussions made in the amended independent claims 1, 11, and 19 above.

Art Unit: 2175

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy

as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until

after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory

period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to

37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

7. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Tony Mahmoudi whose telephone number is (703) 305-4887. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 08:00 am to 04:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dov Popovici, can be reached at (703) 305-3830.

tm

March 27, 2003

DOV POPOVICI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 17